Sensory evaluation of sorghum cakes: Substituting sorghum flour for rice flour, sticky rice flour and wheat flour

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **1469** (2020) 012132 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1469/1/012132

Sensory evaluation of sorghum cakes: Substituting sorghum flour for rice flour, sticky rice flour and wheat flour

E Noerhartati[1,2,*], P Y Hernanda[3], M Mujianto[1], D Simamora[3], T Widiartin[4], M Maslihah[4] and N W Karyanto[4]

[1] Department Agroindustrial Technology-Engineering Faculty, Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia
[2] Postgraduate Student, Department of Education Management, Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia
[3] Department of Medical, Medical Faculty, Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia
[4] Department of Informatic Engineering, Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia

*endang_noer@uwks.ac.id

Abstract. The research objective was the development of the potential of sorghum as an alternative food source to support the Diversification and Food Security Program, by developing various wet cake products, the substitution results of sorghum flour with various other flour, namely: rice flour, sticky rice flour and wheat flour. Specific target: get sorghum-based cakes and various formulations and products that can be accepted by consumers, including quality in terms of physical aspects and organoleptic aspects. The results of these studies are useful for the formulation of the next phase of technical studies, namely obtaining appropriate technology that can be applied to the community. The selected treatment was sorghum "nogosari" cake with S1B1 treatment (combination of sorghum flour 30% and rice flour 70%), sorghum "mendut" cake with S1K1 treatment (combination of sorghum flour 30% and sticky rice flour 70%), while sorghum "bolu" cake with S1T2 treatment (combination of sorghum flour 40% and wheat flour 60%).

1. Introduction

Sorghum (Sorghum Sp) is one of the potential alternative food sources that can be developed to support diversification and food security programs. Sorghum can be used as raw material for semi-finished products which is directed at enriching the potential of sorghum, namely sorghum flour, one form of its use is sorghum cake, which usually uses rice flour, sticky rice flour and wheat flour [1-5].

Sorghum has good nutrition, the nutrient content of sorghum compared to other staple foods such as rice, wheat (wheat), corn and cassava, has sorghum calories of 332cal per 100g, slightly lower than rice (360cal), flour (365cal) and corn (361cal), and the carbohydrate content of sorghum of 73g per 100g is also less than rice (78.9g) and flour (77.3g). But these grains have a high protein content (11g per 100 g) compared to flour (8.9g), rice (6.8g), corn (8.7g) or even cassava (1.2g), he said. Calcium content (28 mg per 100 g), iron (4.4 mg), Phosphorus (287 mg), vitamin B1 (0.38 mg). The protein content of 1 g of sorghum is 1.6 times that of rice. Sorghum also has an iron content of 5.5 times that of rice, 2.05 fold

of phosphorus, 3.1 times as much vitamin B1, 4.7 times fat and 4.6 times as much calcium. Besides sorghum also contains phenol and tannin with high composition [6-10].

One of the cakes made from rice flour is "nagasari" cake, a cake made from sticky rice flour is a "mendut" cake, while a cake made from wheat flour is a "bolu" cake. This research aims to sensory evaluation of sorghum cakes: substituting sorghum flour for rice flour, sticky rice flour and wheat flour.

2. Methodology

This research used sorghum flour of KD4 variety from Lamongan district, rice flour, sticky rice flour and wheat flour. In the first stage, optimization was performed "nagasari" cake substitution of sorghum flour and rice flour by using a one factor randomized block design (RBD), with three replications [11]. The factor was performed by applying combination of sorghum flour and rice flour (SB) are as follows:

S_1B_1 = 30%: 70%; S_2B_2 = 40 %: 60%

The second stage, optimization was performed "mendut" cake substitution of sorghum flour and sticky rice flour by using a one -factor randomized block design (RBD), with three replications. The factor was performed by applying combination of sorghum flour and sticky rice flour (SK) are as follows:

S_1B_1 = 30%: 70%; S_2B_2 = 40 %: 60%

The third stage, optimization was performed "bolu" cake substitution of sorghum flour and wheat flour by using a one -factor randomized block design (RBD), with three replications. The factor was performed by applying combination of sorghum flour and wheat flour (ST) are as follows:

S_1B1 = 30%: 70%; S_2B_2 = 40 %: 60%

The aspects observed included physical and sensory analysis. When analyzing the data, physical analysis with statistical description, and sensory analysis was performed by using Friedman test. Furthermore, selection of alternatives was done to determine the best alternative process. The selection was performed based on the concept of value acquisition of expectation. The mathematical equations for the expected pay-off values are as follows [12]:

$$E_{pj} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i) \cdot f(x_i, d_j) \quad (1)$$

Note:

E_{pj} = The expected pay off value
$P(x_i)$ = Probability of basic state xi
x_i = Different basic state
d_j = Decisions are taken into account
$f(x_i, d_j)$ = Acquisition on the basic state and decision dj

The process of making "nagasari" cake, "mendut" cake, and "bolu" cake, can be seen in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Flowchart sorghum-based process of making "nagasari", "mendut", and "bolu" cake.

Note: In making the "nagasari" cake mixing sorghum flour and rice flour, making the "mendut" cake mixes sorghum flour and sticky rice flour, making "bolu" cake is made mixing sorghum flour and wheat

flour, after that each printing, cooking and then producing to produce "nagasari" cake, "mendut", and "bolu" cake sorghum.

In the last stage, sorghum cake products: "nagasari", "mendut" and "bolu" sorghum were carried out by sensory testing, probability testing, and decision analysis to determine the best treatment combination of each sorghum cake [13-19].

3. Results and discussion

Results of evaluation of sorghum-based wet cake sensory test: "nagasari", "mendut", and "bolu" with scores, percentages, and probabilities (taste, color, taste, and appearance parameters) are presented in Table 1 and alternative selection (expectation value) in Figure 2.

Table 1. Value score, the percentage of each sensory test parameters and test probability sorghum cake.

Sensory test Parameters	The name of the cake / code		
	Nagasari S_1B_1	Mendut S_1K_1	Bolu S_1T_2
Taste	(score 4,6 95%) * 40%	(score 4,7 78%) * 38%	(score 4,8 60%) * 41%
Colour	(score 4,4 73%) * 17%	(score 4,5 65%) * 15%	(score 4,6 83%)* 15%
Flavor	(score 4,35 85%) 28%	(score 4,4 72%) 30%	(score 4,6 70%) * 27%
Appearance	(score 4,6 73%) * 15%	(score 4,5 63%) * 17%	(score 4,7 86%) * 17%

Information: * Significant Friedman Test 5%

Table 1 shows that the highest scores for "nagasari", "mendut", and "bolu" sorghum cake taste, color, flavor, and appearance parameters were obtained from S_1B_1, S_1K_1, and S_1T_2 treatments, this is indicated by the value of the average sensory test score and its percentage value, for the probability test for successive "nagasari" cakes is taste, flavor, color, and appearance, while the "mendut" cake and "bolu" cake are taste, flavor, appearance, and color. The existing alternative process was compared to determine the optimal process. This alternative selection was conducted by calculating the expected value which was obtained from each alternative process [20-27].

Friedman "nagasari" test results showed that taste parameters (Sig 0.022 <0.05), color parameters (Sig 0.038 <0.05), and appearance parameters (0.041 <0.05) were significantly, while flavor parameters (Sig 0.152> 0, 05) not significantly. This means that the sorghum "nagasari" cake for taste, color, and appearance parameters is influenced by a combination of sorghum flour and rice flour.

The Friedman "mendut" cake test results showed that taste parameters (Sig 0,043 <0,05), color parameters (Sig 0,031 <0,05), and appearance parameters (0,021 <0,05) were significantly, while flavor parameters (Sig 0,173> 0,05) not significantly. This means that sorghum "mendut" cake for taste, color, and appearance parameters are influenced by a combination of sorghum flour and sticky rice flour.

Friedman's test of sorghum "bolu" cake showed that taste parameters (Sig 0,037 <0,05), color parameters (Sig 0,038 <0,05), flavor parameters (Sig 0,032 <0,05), and appearance parameters (Sig 0,035<0,05) significantly. This means that sorghum "bolu" cake for taste, color, flavor and appearance parameters is influenced by a combination of sorghum flour and wheat flour [28-33].



Figure 2. Selection of alternative sorghum cake.

Figure 2 shows that the selection of the best treatment combination of sorghum cake based on the calculation of the expected value of each treatment is sorghum "nogosari" cake with S_1B_1=4,63 (combination of sorghum flour 30% and rice flour 70%), sorghum "mendut" cake with S_1K_1= 4,72 (combination of sorghum flour 30% and sticky rice flour 70%), while sorghum "bolu" cake with S_1T_2= 4,82 (combination of sorghum flour 40% and wheat flour 60%). This means that treatment was based on the best quality if compared to the other treatment [34-37].

4. Conclusion

The Friedman "bolu" cake showed all parameter is significant, and "nagasari" and "mendut" cake test showed taste, color, flavor is significant. Based on the research findings, it can be concluded that: sorghum wet cakes are an alternative product diversification based on sorghum flour. The combination of the best treatment for sorghum "nogosari" cake with S_1B_1 (combination of sorghum flour 30% and rice flour 70%), sorghum "mendut" cake with S_1K_1 (combination of sorghum flour 30% and sticky rice flour 70%), while sorghum "bolu" cake with S_1T_2 (combination of sorghum Sflour 40% and wheat flour 60%).

References

[1] A Fayolle 2007 *Handbook of Research in Entrepreneurship Education A General Perspective* (Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited)
[2] A R Anderson and R Sebastien 2017 Towards an Entrepreneurial Theory of Practice; Emerging Ideas for Emerging Economies *JEEE* **9** 2 pp 110-120
[3] A T Jeffrey 1990 *New Venture Creation: Entrepreneurship in the 1990s* (New Business Enterprises)
[4] C O Kangama 2005 Introduction of Sorghum *AJB* **4** 7 pp 575-579
[5] C W Ratnavathi 2018 Prospects of Sweet Sorghum as a Raw Material for Ethanol Production *Perspective* **3** 3 pp 1-3
[6] E Noerhartati 2010 *Various Cookies Made from Flour Sorghum* Paper Si Unyil TV TRANS 7 UWKS
[7] E Noerhartati 2010 *Various Industrial Products Made from Wheat and Sorghum* Agriculture Department Provincial Government of East Java
[8] E Noerhartati 2010 *Various Industrial Products Made from Wheat and Sorghum* Agriculture Department Provincial Government of East Java

[9] E Noerhartati 2014 Product Variety Cookies, Flakes, Sticks, Noodles Sorghum *Proceedings SPRINT LIPI Yogjakarta* pp 235-238
[10] Ministry of Health RI 1996 *List of Food Composition* Jakarta Bhratara
[11] Sudjana 2009 *Statistics Method* (Bandung: Tarsito)
[12] P Siagian 1987 *Operational Research* (UI Press Jakarta)
[13] E Noerhartati 2017 Building a Network of Entrepreneurship Supports Sorghum Development as an Alternative Food *Proceeding of National Seminar of Dies Natalis UWKS* pp 39-48
[14] E Noerhartati 2018 Evaluation of Entrepreneurship Education on Development Program of Product Sorghum *IJET* **7** 3 30 pp 400-404
[15] E Noerhartati and D Puspitasari 2014 Pie Sorghum *Research Report UWKS*
[16] E Noerhartati and D Puspitasari 2016 Flake Sorghum *Proceeding FANRes UB Malang* pp 83-94
[17] E Noerhartati and T Rahayuningsih 2015 Optimization of Sorghum Instant Noodles Products *Proceeding ISREM UWKS* pp 50-57
[18] E Noerhartati and T Rahayuningsih 2016 Soft Bran of Sorghum Potential for High Fiber Supplement Food *Proceeding IFC UKWM Surabaya* pp 131-137
[19] E Noerhartati and T Rahayuningsih 2017 Stick Sorghum (Sorghum sp) As Food Diversification Alternative Products *JRP* **11** 2 pp 38-44
[20] E Noerhartati, T Rahayuningsih and F S Rejeki 2013 *Activity Report of IbM Business Group of Sorghum Flour* UWKS
[21] E Noerhartati, T Widiartin and N W Karsono 2015 Assorted Cakes Wet Sorgum *Research Report UWKS*
[22] E Noerhartati, T Widiartin, Maslihah and N W Karsono 2016 Activity Report of IbIKK *Production Center of Sorghum Product at UWKS Year 1* UWKS
[23] E Noerhartati, T Widiartin, Maslihah and N W Karsono 2017 *Activity Report of IbIKK Production Center of Sorghum Product at UWKS Year 2* UWKS
[24] E Noerhartati, T Widiartin, Maslihah and N W Karsono 2018 *Activity Report of IbIKK Production Center of Sorghum Product at UWKS Year 3* UWKS
[25] E Noerhartati, T Widiartin, Maslihah and N W Karyanto 2018 The Development of Market Segmentation of Sorghum Products as Functional Beverages *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **434** 1 012169
[26] FAO 1999 Sorghum Post-harvest Operations *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*
[27] H J Kim 2018 Reconciling Entrepreneurial Orientation and Dynamic Capabilities: a Strategic Entrepreneurship Perspective *JE* **27** 2 pp 180-208
[28] Kemmis, Stephen and Robin Mc Taggart 1997 *The Action Research Planner* (Geelong: Deakin University)
[29] M E Agwu and H N Onwuegbuzie 2018 Effects of International Marketing Environments on Entrepreneurship Development *JIE* **712**
[30] P Imran 2014 Antioxidant Perspective of Sorghum and Millet *JFPP* **39** 6 pp 1089–1097
[31] S Shirley 1995 *Action Research as on-Going Professional Development* (Canberra: Accord)
[32] T Widiartin and E Noerhartati 2018 Build Sorghum Database for developing SEU digital network on sorghum website of Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya University *IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng* **434** 012253
[33] W Siferow 2014 Evaluation of Sorghum" IRJASS **4** 476-84 2014
[34] E Yilmaz, B Aydeniz 2012 Sensory Evaluation and Consumer Perception of Some Commercial Green Table Olives *BFJ* **114** 8 pp 1085-1094
[35] D S Ackbarali and R Maharaj 2014 Sensory Evaluation as a Tool in Determining Acceptability of Innovative Products Developed by Undergraduate Students in Food Science and Technology at The University of Trinidad and Tobago *JCT* **3** 1 pp 10-27
[36] Á A Carbonell and B Barrachina 2007 Application Of Sensory Evaluation Of Food To Quality Control In The Spanish Food Industry *PJFNS* **57** 4 A pp 71-76

[37] K Lisak, M Lenc, I Jelicic and R Bozanic 2012 Sensory Evaluation of the Strawberry Flavored Yoghurt with Stevia and Sucrose Addition *CJFTBN* **7** pp 39-43