

**AN ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' WRITING ABILITY AT CLASS X-5
IN SMA HANGTUAH 4 SURABAYA**

THESIS



By :

HANA EFIRA

20620006

**ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF WILJAYA KUSUMA SURABAYA**

2024

**AN ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' WRITING ABILITY AT CLASS X-5
IN SMA HANGTUAH 4 SURABAYA**

THESIS

Submitted to Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education to fulfill the requirements

for completing the Bachelor of English Education Program

By :

HANA EFIRA

NPM. 20620006

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

UNIVERSITY OF WIJAYA KUSUMA SURABAYA

2024

APPROVAL SHEET

The thesis written by Hana Efira, NPM 20620006, entitled *An Analysis of Students' Writing Ability at Class X-5 in SMA Hang Tuah 4 Surabaya* has been approved to undertaken in Thesis Examination.

Surabaya, 4 July 2024

Approved by :

Advisor 1,



Dr. Ribut Surjowati, S.Pd, M.Pd

NIK. 94231-ET

Advisor 2,



Dra. Bakti Wirawati, M.Pd

NIK. 92152-ET

APPROVAL SHEET II

Name : Hana Efira
NPM : 20620006
Title : An Analysis of Students' Writing Ability at Class X-5 in SMA Hang
Tuah 4 Surabaya

Has been approved by the committee of Thesis Examination on (July 10, 2024) and hereby declared to have fulfilled on the requirement.

Signature

First Examiner

Dr. Ribut Surjowati, S.Pd, M.Pd

NIK. 94231-ET



Second Examiner

Dra. Bakti Wirawati, M.Pd

NIK. 92152-ET



Third Examiner


Dra. Siti Azizah, M.Pd

NIK. 05407-ET



Acknowledgement by,

Dean of Teacher Training and Education Faculty



Dr. Drs. Kaswadi, M. Hum

NIK. 91122-ET

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY

I hereby do sign and submit the form below :

Name : Hana Efira

NPM : 20620006

Faculty : Faculty of Teacher Training and Education


Address : Jl. Simo Magersari 2/82, Surabaya

To declare the certificate of originality that :

1. I am responsible for the work submitted in this Thesis that the original work is my own except as specified in acknowledgement.
2. If there found unoriginality, I would like take responsibility neither the Thesis nor the original work contained in has been submitted to English Education Department of Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya University.

Thereby I truly certify this certificate of originality.

Surabaya, 4 July 2024



Hana Efira

NPM. 20620006

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to the Lord Jesus for His grace and His unending love, which have strengthened me throughout this thesis. His love and faithfulness have always given me hope in completing this thesis, for which I am grateful. This thesis is no longer about my efforts but it is all about the love of Christ that enables me to write this thesis. I also want to show my gratitude to these people :

1. Prof. Dr. Ario Widodo Kentjono as Rector of Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya University.
2. Dr. Drs. Kaswadi, M. Hum as Dean of Faculty Teacher Training and Education.
3. Dr. Ribut Surjowati, S.Pd, M.Pd as the Head of English Education Department and as my first advisor who always provides a lot of input, advice and encouragement, so that I can complete the thesis well.
4. Dra. Beki Wirawati, M.Pd as the Vice Dean 3 and my second advisor who always provides a lot of input, advice and encouragement, so that I can complete the thesis well.
5. My deepest gratitude to my beloved family for their endless prayers and encouragements, who always reminds me to keep my spirits up and to always rely on God.
5. Sincerely thank to Gibeon Church and all of my friends at the church for their endless support in many aspects of my life, especially the growth of my faith.

6. I truly appreciate all my friends in “girls”, Angel, Kak Theo, Brigita, Mila, Atiqah, Lathifah and all of my friends in UWKS who always accompany and provide support during the thesis work.

7. Thanks to my gaming friend, Angel. She is the one who always accompanies me when I want to play games, ranging from PUBG Mobile, Mobile Legends, horror games, escape games and so on.

ABSTRACT

Efira, H. (2024). *An Analysis of Students' Writing Ability at Class X-5 in SMA Hang Tuah 4 Surabaya*. Thesis : English Department of Teacher Training and Education, Wijaya Kusuma University Surabaya.

Advisor :

1. Dr. Ribut Surjowati, S.Pd, M.Pd
2. Dra. Bekti Wirawati, M.Pd

Keywords : Writing, Procedure Text, Five Components of Writing

This research was intended to describe the students' writing ability at Class X-5 in SMA Hang Tuah 4 Surabaya about five components of writing in procedure text. This research used descriptive qualitative to describe the data of the topic discussed. The researcher used questionnaire and writing test to collect the data. The result of the research showed by writing test, 54% students got upper score in content, 50% students got upper score in organization, 63% students got lower score in vocabulary, 67% students got lower score in language use, and 71% students got lower score in mechanics. Meanwhile, by questionnaire, 39% students answer agree in content, 42% students answer agree in organization, 45% students answer neutral in vocabulary, 50% students answer neutral in language use, 42% students answer neutral in mechanics. So, through writing test more than 50% of students got lower score in vocabulary, language use, and mechanics and through questionnaire, it was also obtained that many students answered neutral and in vocabulary, language use, and mechanics, which means they still do not really understand whether these components are important or not important in writing. It can be concluded that students have difficulties in applying and understanding the components of writing. Thus, the researcher suggests English teacher to provide a good learning motivation for students and has a creative way of delivering the material, so that students can enthusiastically improve their writing skills. For the students, they need to consider the important components of writing and doing a lot of practice to improve their writing. Lastly, for other researchers can make this study as a reference for other studies, other writers can conduct research to improve students' abilities, especially the five components of their writing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE TITLE	i
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
APPROVAL SHEET II	iii
CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF CHARTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF APPENDIXES	xiii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Research Questions	7
1.3 Purpose of the Study	8
1.4 Significance of the Study	9
1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study	9
1.6 Operational Definitions	10
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	11
2.1 Writing	11
2.1.1 The Nature of Writing	11
2.1.2 Components of Writing	12
2.2 Teaching Writing In Senior High School	14
2.3 Ability in Writing	14
2.4 Problems in Writing	15

2.5 Procedure Text	15
2.5.1 Definition of Procedure Text	15
2.5.2 Generic Structures of Procedure Text	16
2.5.3 Language Features of Procedure Text	17
2.5.4 Example of Procedure Text	18
2.6 Learning Outcomes of Procedure Text	19
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	20
3.1 Research Design	20
3.2 Location and Setting of the Research	20
3.3 Subjects of the Research	21
3.4 Objects of the Research	21
3.5 Techniques of Data Collection	22
3.5.1 Research Instrument	22
3.6 Procedures of Data Analysis	24
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	25
4.1 Findings	25
4.1.1 Students' Writing Ability in Writing Procedure Text by Writing Test	26
4.1.1.1 Content	26
4.1.1.2 Organization	27
4.1.1.3 Vocabulary	28
4.1.1.4 Language Use	29
4.1.1.5 Mechanics	30

4.1.2 Students' Understanding About 5 Components Of Writing in Procedure Text by Questionnaire	31
4.1.2.1 Content	31
4.1.2.1 Organization	32
4.1.2.3 Vocabulary	34
4.1.2.4 Language Use	35
4.1.2.5 Mechanics	37
4.2 Discussions	38
4.2.1 Students' Writing Ability Based On Five Components of Writing	39
4.2.1.1 Content	39
4.2.1.2 Organization	40
4.2.1.3 Vocabulary	40
4.2.1.4 Language Use	40
4.2.1.5 Mechanics	41
4.2.2 Students' Understanding About Five Components of Writing in Procedure Text	42
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	44
5.1 Conclusion	44
5.2 Suggestions	45
5.2.1 Suggestions for Students	45
5.2.2 Suggestions for Teacher	45
5.2.3 Suggestions for Other Researcher	46
REFERENCES	47
APPENDIXES	50

LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 4.1 The Students' Score in Content	26
Chart 4.2 The Students' Score in Organization	27
Chart 4.3 The Students' Score in Vocabulary	28
Chart 4.4 The Students' Score in Language Use	29
Chart 4.5 The Students' Score in Mechanics	30
Chart 4.6 Students' Writing Ability Based On Five Components of Writing	39
Chart 4.7 Students' Understanding About Five Components of Writing	42

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 The Students' Questionnaire in Content	31
Table 4.2 The Students' Questionnaire in Organization	32
Table 4.3 The Students' Questionnaire in Vocabulary	34
Table 4.4 The Students' Questionnaire in Language Use	35
Table 4.5 The Students' Questionnaire in Mechanics	37

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix 1 Scoring Rubric	50
Appendix 2 Questionnaire For Student	52
Appendix 3 Students' Writing Score Based on Five Components of Writing	55
Appendix 4 Students' Writing Procedure Text	56
Appendix 5 Documentations	58
Appendix 6 Letter of Research Permission	59
Appendix 7 Letter of Research Approval	60