



Buletin Peternakan

(Bulletin of Animal Science)



pISSN : 0126-4400 | eISSN : 2407-876X

- Home
- About
- Login
- Register
- Search
- Current
- Archives
- Announcements
- Statistics
- Indexing & Abstracting
- Journal History
- Contact

Home > About the Journal > **Editorial Policies**

Editorial Policies

- [Focus and Scope](#)
- [Section Policies](#)
- [Peer Review Process](#)
- [Publication Frequency](#)
- [Open Access Policy](#)
- [Archiving](#)
- [Editorial Team](#)
- [Instruction for Author\(s\)](#)
- [Author Fees](#)
- [Peer Review Process](#)
- [Screening For Plagiarsm](#)
- [Digital Archiving](#)

Focus and Scope

Aims

Buletin Peternakan aims to publish original research results and reviews on farm tropical animals related to bioscience, biotechnology, bio resources such as chicken, duck, japanese quail, cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats, pigs, horses, as well as nondomesticated Indonesian endemic animals, such as silkworm, bee, deers, anoa, babirusa, etc.

Scope

Buletin Peternakan encompasses a broad range of research topics in animal sciences: breeding and genetics, animal embryology, reproduction and physiology, Animal production system, nutrition, nutrigenomics, feed sciences, pasture, agrostology, animal food science, animal products, animal waste, biotechnology, animal behavior and welfare, health, livestock farming system, socio-economic, and policy.

Section Policies

Articles

- Open Submissions
- Indexed
- Peer Reviewed

Peer Review Process

Every article that goes to the editorial staff will be selected through **Initial Review** processes by Editorial Board. Then, the articles will be sent to the Mitra Bebestari/ peer reviewer and will go to the next selection by **Double Blind Peer Review Process**. After that, the articles will be returned to the authors to revise. These processes take a month (four week) for a maximum time. In the each manuscript, Mitra Bebestari/ peer reviewer will be rated from the substantial and technical aspects. Mitra Bebestari/ peer reviewer that collaboration with Buletin Peternakan (Bulletin of animal science) is the experts in the public administration area and issues around it. They were experienced in the prestigious journal management and publication that was spread around the national and abroad.

Publication Frequency

Bulletin of Animal Science is published every three months (February, May, August, November).

Open Access Policy

This journal provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge.

Journal History

[Focus & Scope](#)

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Author Fees](#)

[How to Online Submission](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

[Open Access Policy](#)

[Screening For Plagiarsm](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Copyright Notice](#)

[Peer Reviewers](#)

[Visitor Statistics](#)

ACCREDITATION



CITATION ANALYSIS

- ▶ [SCOPUS](#)
- ▶ [Google Scholar](#)

TEMPLATE



REFERENCE MANAGEMENT TOOLS



Archiving

This journal utilizes the LOCKSS system to create a distributed archiving system among participating libraries and permits those libraries to create permanent archives of the journal for purposes of preservation and restoration. [More...](#)

Editorial Team

Buletin Peternakan (*Bulletin of Animal Science*)

Editor in chief

- **Mohammad Zainal Abidin**, Department of Animal Products Technology, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 55331444100; h-index = 5.

Co-Editor in Chief

- **Dimas Hand Vidya Paradhita**, Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57204390901; h-index = 4

Associate Editor

- **Tety Hartatik**, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 6505863692; h-index = 7.
- **Tri Anggraeni Kusumastuti**, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57194135275; h-index = 2.
- **Muhlisin**, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57201189915; h-index = 7
- **Galuh Adi Insani**, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Sinta ID = 5972626

Editor Boards:

- **Yuny Erwanto**, Department of Animal Products Technology, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 6507184764; h-index = 11.
- **Nafiatul Umami**, Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 55515013800; h-index = 5.
- **Tri Satya Mastuti Widi**, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57194418225; h-index = 3.
- **Eka Meutia Sari**, Breeding dan Pemuliaan Ternak, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57190665652; h-index = 3.
- **Dyah Maharani**, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 54881785100; h-index = 6.
- **Sri Mukodiningsih**, Nutrisi dan Makanan Ternak, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57189042929; h-index = 1
- **Sutrisno Hadi Purnomo**, Sosial Ekonomi Peternakan, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret. Scopus ID = 55683342400; h-index = 4.
- **Trisiwi Wahyu Widayati**, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Negeri Papua, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 57191056182; h-index = 3.
- **Bambang Ariyadi**, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Animal Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Scopus ID = 55184028700; h-index = 6.

Managing Editor:

- Sri Agtin Tejawati
- Ikhwan Hadianto

Instruction for Author(s)

Instruction for Authors

Bulletin of Animal Science, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada

Manuscripts must be written whether in Bahasa Indonesia or in English. Manuscripts must be prepared double-space in Microsoft Word using Arial font at 11 points and the margin should be no less than 2.5 cm all around in A4 (21 × 29.7 cm) paper. Pages on the manuscripts must be numbered consecutively in the bottom right hand corner, beginning with the title page. The lines on all pages must be numbered consecutively in the left side of the pages beginning with number 1 at the title page.

Manuscripts submitted to the Bulletin of Animal Science must be an original results of research or review article and must not have been published in or submitted previously to a peer-reviewed scientific journal. Manuscript should contain following section in this order: Title page, Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgement and References.

Title Page. The title of manuscripts must be prepared as brief as possible, typed with capital letter and no more than 20 words. The title of manuscripts written in Bahasa Indonesia must be translated into English. The Authors must be written below the title in full name without professional ranks or titles. Authors' institutions address, including name of Department and University, City, Country and Postal Code must be written below author's name. In case of authors are from more than one institution, key the author to the address with superscript of Arabic numerals and write the additional institution address as footnote in the bottom of page. Also, key the corresponding author name with " * " and include the phone number and email address.

Abstract. Abstract must be prepared on the separate page with the title page and the word

ABSTRACT must be typed with capital-bold-italic style in separate line with the body-text of abstract. The abstract consists of no more than 300 words in one paragraph beginning with a clear statement of the research objective. The abstract contains a brief but

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

Login

NOTIFICATIONS

▶ View

▶ Subscribe

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

▶ By Issue

▶ By Author

▶ By Title

▶ Other Journals

understandable summary of the pertinent results, including materials and methods, results with statistical evidences and discussion, and conclusion with no references cited.

Key words.List up to 6 key words or phrases that represent the research. The term *Key words* must be type in italic followed by colon on separate line after the abstract. The first letter of each key word is uppercase; key words are separated by commas, presented in alphabetical order and surrounded by bracket.

Introduction.The introduction start on the next page after the abstract. It must contain a brief justification for conducting the research, the hypothesis to be tested and the objectives of the research. The introduction also contains references that support the research. However, extensive discussion of relevant literature should be included in results and discussion section, not in introduction section.

Materials and Methods. This section must contain a clear description or specific original reference for all biological, analytical, and statistical procedures. All modification of the procedures must be explained clearly, so that the methods can be done by other scientist. Diets, animals (breed, sex, age, body weight), surgical techniques (if available), data measurements and statistical models should be described clearly. Information regarding the brand names, company names (manufacturer) and locations for all equipment and chemical (commercial products) used in the research, must be provided.

Results and Discussion. The results are presented in the form of tables, figures, graphs or photos. The data should be prepared so that the data in tables or figures can be understood without referring to information on the body text of discussion. Discussion contains the authors' interpretation of the results of the study and integrate the research findings with the previously published literature to provide the readers a broad base interpretation and assertions. The discussion should be written clearly and concisely.

Conclusion. Conclusion highlights the substantiation of the results of the research with no discussion. It must be written shortly and concisely.

References. References must be prepared according to guidelines of Journal of Animal Science. References cited in the text must be written:

- Name (Year) or (Name, Year) for single author. For example: Erwanto (2014) or (Erwanto, 2014).
- Name and Name (Year) or (Name and Name, Year) for reference written by two authors. For example: Erwanto and Fitriyanto (2013) or (Erwanto and Fitriyanto, 2013).
- Name *et al.* (Year) or (Name *et al.*, Year) for refence written by more than 2 authors. For example: Umami *et al.* (2014) or (Umami *et al.*, 2014).
- Groups of references cited together in one sentence in the body text must be written in chronological order. For example: (Erwanto, 2009; Umami *et al.*, 2012; Fitriyanto and Agus, 2014).

In references section, references must be listed alphabetically according to the author(s) last name and the chronologically. In case of two or more publication by the same author(s) in the same year should be differentiated by adding the lowercase letter (a, b, c..) after the year of publication. The journal name must be written according to journal's abbreviation standard. In case of local journal which its abbreviation is not available, the full name of journal is allowed.

Sample references are as follows:

1. Journal articles and abstract

Scaglia, G. and H. T. Boland. 2014. The effect of bermudagrass hybrid on forage characteristics, animal performance, and grazing behavior of beef steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 92(3):1228-1238.

Dijcker, J. C., E. A. Hagen-Plantinga, H. Everts, Y. Queau, V. Biourge, and W. H. Hendriks. 2014. Factors contributing to the variation in feline urinary oxalate excretion rate. *J. Anim. Sci.* 92:1029-1036.

2. Standard Books

AOAC. 1990. *Officials Methods of Analysis*. 15th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Arlington.

NRC. 2000. *Nutrient requirements of beef cattle*. 7th revised edition. National Academic. Press, Washington.

Hartadi, H., S. Reksohadiprojo, and A. D. Tillman. 2005. *Tabel komposisi pakan untuk Indonesia*. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.

3. Books and articles within edited books

Robinson, P. H., E. K. Okine, and J. J. Kennelly. 1992. Measurements of protein digestion in ruminant. In: *Modern method in protein nutrition and metabolism*. Academic Press, San Diego, p. 121-127.

4. Electronic publication (Internet citation)

FDA. 2014. Approved animal products online. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/AnimalVeterinary/Products/ApprovedAnimalProducts/default.htm>. Accessed 3 February 2015.

Anonymous. 2003. Acetaldehyde Chemical Backgrounder. Available at: <http://www.nsc.org/library.htm>. Accessed 23 January 2014.

Tables. All tables should be cited in the text. All tables must be numbered using arabic numerals. The title of tables must be as brief as possible but clearly represents the data on it. For manuscript that is

written in Bahasa Indonesia, each title and body of the tables must be followed by the english translation on a bracket and written in italic style. There must be only three vertical lines inside table. First, the double-line below the title of table (top of first row); Second,

the single-line in the bottom of first row; and third, the single-line in the bottom of the last row of table. There must be no vertical line inside table. The rows of table must be in a single space. The first letter in title of the column and row must be type in capital letter.

Figures (Graph, diagram or photo). Figures must be numbered using arabic numerals and the title must be written under the figures. The title must be written clearly and concisely and for manuscript that is written in Bahasa Indonesia, there must be a translation of the title written in a bracket in italic style after the original title in Bahasa Indonesia. The letters inside the figures (if available) must be typed in Times New Roman fonts. Legends of the figures must be written after the title of figures, in the same line and there must be no legend inside the figures. The figures can be prepared in corel or jpeg file separated from the text.

Use of number. The use of numbers on the body text of manuscript and tables or figures are as follow:

- The numbers from one to nine must be spelled out (example: one, five, eight) and numbers 10 above use arabic numerals (example: 10, 15, 168).
- Use arabic numerals with abbreviated units of measure, for example: 5 g, 7 d, 1% and numerical designations in the text, for example: experiment 4, group 2, etc.
- Do not begin sentence with numeral number. Spell it out or rearrange the sentence.
- Use the 24-h clock system: 09:30, 13:40 h, etc. Give day length in quantitative hours (e.g. 2 h 16 min). Abbreviate the terms hour (h), minute (min) second (s) and year (yr) when used with a number in the text but spell them out when they are used alone.

In Indonesian Language :

Please [download here](#)

Petunjuk Penulisan Naskah

Petunjuk Penulisan Naskah Buletin Peternakan, Fakultas Peternakan, Universitas Gadjah Mada

Ketentuan Umum

Redaksi Buletin Peternakan menerima naskah baik dalam Bahasa Indonesia maupun dalam Bahasa Inggris. Penulisan naskah dalam Bahasa Indonesia harus mengikuti kaidah Bahasa Indonesia yang baku dan penggunaan kata berdasarkan ejaan yang disempurnakan (EYD). Naskah harus dibuat dengan menggunakan program Microsoft Word for Windows, diketik dengan huruf Arial ukuran font 11, dengan spasi 2 dalam kertas kwarto/A4 (21 x 29,7 cm) bermargin 2,5 cm pada keempat sisinya. Semua baris pada naskah harus diberi nomor baris (*line number*) yang dimulai dengan nomor satu (1) pada baris pertama untuk setiap halaman, mulai dari baris pertama halaman judul hingga halaman terakhir. Naskah juga harus diberi nomor halaman pada bagian bawah sebelah kanan.

Format Naskah

Naskah yang dikirimkan kepada redaksi Buletin Peternakan harus merupakan tulisan asli hasil penelitian atau review, belum pernah diterbitkan di jurnal lain dan tidak sedang dalam proses penyuntingan di jurnal lain. Naskah harus ditulis dengan urutan format sebagai berikut: Halaman Judul, Intisari, Abstract, Pendahuluan, Materi dan Metode, Hasil dan Pembahasan, Kesimpulan, Ucapan Terima Kasih (bila ada), dan Daftar Pustaka.

Halaman Judul

Judul naskah harus dibuat sesingkat mungkin tetapi tetap mewakili isi naskah secara jelas. Judul naskah ditulis dengan huruf kapital dan keseluruhan judul tidak lebih dari 20 kata. Judul naskah harus disertai dengan terjemahan judul dalam bahasa Inggris, ditulis dengan huruf kapital dan miring (*italic*) dan disertakan dalam baris terpisah setelah judul naskah bahasa Indonesia. Nama penulis (*Authors*) ditulis setelah judul bahasa Inggris, tanpa menyertakan gelar akademik maupun profesional. Nama dan alamat instansi ditulis setelah nama penulis dengan format: Jurusan, Fakultas, Universitas, Kota, dan Kode Pos. Jika diantara penulis berasal dari lebih dari satu instansi, penulis dari instansi lain diberi keterangan menggunakan catatan kaki (*footnote*) dengan angka arab (1, 2, 3, ...). Selain itu, untuk penulis korespondensi (*Corresponding author*) ditandai dengan tanda "*" dan diberikan tambahan informasi pada baris terakhir catatan kaki berupa nomor telepon dan alamat email.

Author Fees

This journal charges the following author fees.

Article Publication: 900.000.00 (IDR)

If this paper is accepted for publication, you will be asked to pay an Article Publication Fee to cover publications costs.

If you do not have funds to pay such fees, you will have an opportunity to waive each fee. We do not want fees to prevent the publication of worthy work.

Peer Review Process

The submitted manuscript is first reviewed by an editor. It will be evaluated in the office whether it is suitable with our focus and scope or has a major methodological flaw. Every submitted manuscript which pass this step will be checked to identify any plagiarism before being reviewed by reviewers. This journal uses double-blind review, The manuscript will be sent to the reviewer anonymously. Reviewers' comment are also sent anonymously to corresponding author to take the necessary actions and responses. The decision of the revised manuscript will be then evaluated in editorial board meeting, based on the reviewer's recommendation from among several possibilities: rejected, require major revision, need minor revision, or accepted. The Editor-in-Chief of Buletin Peternakan has the right to decide which manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published.

Screening For Plagiarsm

Plagiarism screening will be conducted by Buletin Peternakan (Bulletin of Animal Science) Editorial Board using [Plagiarsm Checker](#) and [AIMOS 2.0](#)

Digital Archiving

This journal utilizes the [Indonesia One Search \(IOS\)](#), [Indonesian Scientific Journal Database \(ISJD\)](#), and [Indonesian Publication Index \(IPI\)](#) system to create a distributed archiving system among participating libraries and permits those libraries to create permanent archives of the journal for purposes of preservation and restoration.

Buletin Peternakan (Bulletin of Animal Science) Indexed by:



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).



Buletin Peternakan

(Bulletin of Animal Science)



pISSN : 0126-4400 | eISSN : 2407-876X

[Home](#) [About](#) [Login](#) [Register](#) [Search](#) [Current](#) [Archives](#) [Announcements](#) [Statistics](#) [Indexing & Abstracting](#) [Journal History](#) [Contact](#)

Home > Archives > **Vol 44, No 2 (2020)**

Vol 44, No 2 (2020)

BULETIN PETERNAKAN VOL. 44 (2) MAY 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2>

Table of Contents

Articles

Evaluation of Different Techniques in Recovering of Oocytes and Storage Duration of Ovaries on the Quality and Quantity of Bovine in Vitro Maturation <i>Zaituni Udin, Masrizal Masrizal, Hendri Hendri, Syafri Nanda</i>	64-70
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.52181 Abstract views : 1843 views : 1870	
Reproductive Performances of Friesian Holstein Dairy Cows in Different Agricultural Ecosystems <i>Tendy Kusmayadi, Muhamad Hasan Hadiana, Ujang Hidayat Tanuwiria</i>	71-77
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.39917 Abstract views : 1908 views : 1685	
Identification of Glucogenic Amino Acids Content in <i>Gliricidia maculata</i> as an Alternative Energy Source for High-Yielding Periparturient Dairy Cows <i>Sulvia Dwi Astuti SW, Budi Prasetyo Widyobroto, Ali Agus, Lies Mira Yusiati</i>	78-82
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.54103 Abstract views : 1911 views : 1743	
The Effect of Choline Chloride Supplementation on the Reproductive Performance of Simmental Bulls Fed Protected Protein in the Ration <i>Bambang Waluyo Hadi Eko Prasetyono, Yon Soepri Ondho, Agung Subrata, Pramesti Kusuma Pratiwi, Mutiara Briliana Zahra, Trisna Itmamulwafa, Tertyani Kartika Pratiwi, Muslichatun Nisa, Widiyanto Widiyanto</i>	83-89
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.55338 Abstract views : 1795 views : 1812	
Application of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> as a Probiotic for Producing Low Cholesterol and Antibiotic-Free Broiler Meat <i>Suci Wulandari, Theo Mahiseta Syahniar, Dadik Pantaya</i>	90-96
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.48544 Abstract views : 2067 views : 1879	
Growth Performance, Mortality, Relative Organ Weight, Blood Biochemistry, and Intestinal Microbial of Arbor Acres Broiler Fed Diets Containing Mannan-Riched Fraction (Mrf) and Probiotic-Enhanced Liquid Acidifier <i>Danung Nur Adli, Osfar Sjojfan</i>	97-105
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.54713 Abstract views : 2095 views : 1840	
Refeeding Postmolting Method to Improve Weekly Production Performance of Rejected Laying Hens with Low Mortality <i>Claude Mona Airin, Amelia Hana, Pudji Astuti, Sarmin Sarmin, Trini Susmiati, Okti Herawati</i>	106-110
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.45508 Abstract views : 1364 views : 1602	
Resistance Profile of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase-Producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> Bacteria using Vitek® 2 Compact Method <i>Freshinta Jellia Wibisono, Bambang Sumiarto, Tri Untari, Mustofa Helmi Effendi, Dian Ayu Permatasari, Adiana Mutamsari Witaningrum</i>	111-116
10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.51347 Abstract views : 2022 views : 2047	

- [Focus & Scope](#)
- [Author Guidelines](#)
- [Author Fees](#)
- [How to Online Submission](#)
- [Publication Ethics](#)
- [Open Access Policy](#)
- [Screening For Plagiarism](#)
- [Editorial Board](#)
- [Copyright Notice](#)
- [Peer Reviewers](#)
- [Visitor Statistics](#)

ACCREDITATION



CITATION ANALYSIS

- SCOPUS
- Google Scholar

TEMPLATE



REFERENCE MANAGEMENT TOOLS



Noraimah Binti Sulaiman, Cece Sumantri, Irma Isnafia Arief, Cahyo Budiman

10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.45544  Abstract views : 1206 |  views : 1593

Buletin Peternakan (Bulletin of Animal Science) Indexed by:



Crossref



GARUDA



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

Login

NOTIFICATIONS

▶ View

▶ Subscribe

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

▶ By Issue

▶ By Author

▶ By Title

▶ Other Journals

Doi: 10.21059/buletinpeternak.v44i2.51347

Resistance Profile of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* Bacteria using Vitek® 2 Compact Method

Freshinta Jellia Wibisono¹, Bambang Sumiarto², Tri Untari³, Mustofa Helmi Effendi^{4*}, Dian Ayu Permatasari⁴, and Adiana Mutamsari Witaningrum⁴

¹Doctoral Program in Veterinary Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

²Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

³Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

⁴Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Airlangga University, Surabaya, 60115, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to determine the resistance profile and the nature of multidrug resistance in *Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase* (ESBL)-producing *Escherichia coli* (*E.coli*) against several classes of antibiotics. Positive isolates of ESBL-producing *E.coli* were tested for antibiotic sensitivity using the VITEK® 2 compact method which then analyzed automatically. The results showed an antibiotic resistance profile against ESBL-producing *E.coli* showed the highest level of antibiotics in beta lactam, amoxicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone at 100%. Subsequent results found a relatively high level of resistance in the antibiotics aztreonam (86.36%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (77.27%), gentamicin (72.73%), and ciprofloxacin (68.18%). Antibiotics from carbapenem groups such as ertapenem and meropenem, and antibiotics from the aminoglycosides (amikacin) and tetracycline groups of tetracycline still showed a high sensitivity level of 100%. The most common resistance patterns found in ESBL-producing *E.coli* isolates are AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP as much as 22.73%, and AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT patterns of 18.2%. The results of multi-class antibiotic resistance showed that 86.36% had multidrug resistance. The highest multidrug resistance pattern in ESBL-producing *E.coli* occurred with a BL/AG/Q/SP pattern of 50%. Other patterns of multidrug resistance in ESBL-producing *E.coli* that can be found in this study are, the BL/AG/Q/SP pattern is 18.20%, the BL/AG/Q/SP pattern is 13.64%, and the BL/AG/Q pattern is 4.55%. The high profile of resistance and the nature of multidrug resistance in ESBL-producing *E.coli* has the potential to spread these resistant genes, thus risking the use of antibiotics as a public health therapy and animal health, therefore further evaluation and control are needed.

Keywords: ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*, Multidrug resistance, Vitek® 2 Compact Method

Article history

Submitted: 10 November 2019

Accepted: 3 May 2020

* Corresponding author:

Telp. +628175111783

E-mail: mheffendi@yahoo.com

Introduction

Disease in commercial chicken is one of constraints causing the decline in economic value (Wiedosari and Wahyuwardani, 2015). Pathogenic microorganisms cause infectious diseases, which is one of a leading cause of death in animals or even human. The high prevalence of infectious disease is associated with the high use of antibiotic in health care (Noor and Peoleonga, 2005). A negative economic consequence in commercial chicken production can be caused by *Escherichia coli* (*E.coli*) infection. The existence of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase (ESBL)-producing *E.coli* on commercial chicken is highly

associated with *E.coli*, a normal microflora on gastrointestinal tract of commercial chicken. Feces and animal housing environment can facilitate the existence of *E.coli*, although, chicken show no symptoms at all of any diseases caused by the bacteria (Wibisono *et al.*, 2018).

Commercial chicken farm is one of sources of antibiotic resistance. The uncontrolled use of antibiotic causes the emergence of antibiotic resistance. Beta lactam antibiotic is the type of antibiotic commonly used in commercial chicken production. Resistance to beta lactam antibiotic can occur in ESBL-producing *E.coli* (Santos *et al.*, 2013; Hammerum *et al.*, 2014). Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase is an enzyme that

causes resistance to wider spectrum on third generation of cephalosporins and mobobactams; thus, the antibiotic becomes ineffective. ESBL-producing bacteria can also be resistant to wide array of antibiotic class: aminoglycoside, tetracycline chloramphenicol, and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (Brower *et al.*, 2017; Sudarwanto *et al.*, 2017). Incidence on multidrug resistance to third generation cephalosporins and other antibiotic classes are often found in ESBL-producing bacteria (Masrurh *et al.*, 2016). Multidrug resistance is a resistance to three or more different antibiotic classes (Handayani *et al.*, 2017).

Identification of ESBL bacteria is a problem in confirming a diagnosis at the laboratory, either in therapeutic approach or in the field to prevent its spread. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) states that ESBL examination must be performed routinely. According to CLSI recommendation, ESBL detection consists of two steps. The first is an initial screen test, a filter test to reduce the susceptibility to more than one cephalosporin indicators (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefpodoxime) and aztreonam. The susceptibility reduction from cephalosporins shows positive results. A positive result from screening test is followed by the second step, ESBL confirmation test. The second step aims to detect the hydrolytic potency of ESBL against antibiotic used in the screening test. The ESBL confirmation test deliver a picture of collaboration action between ceftazidime or cefotaxime, and clavulanate acid (Amelia *et al.*, 2016; CLSI, 2017; Biutifasari, 2018).

Methods of identification, antibiotic sensitivity test, and ESBL-producing bacteria confirmation test are categorized into phenotype and genotype examination methods, with their advantages and limitations. Both phenotypic bacteria identification and antibiotic sensitivity is relatively easier than genotypic examination. Phenotypic examination is performed by using agar testing method or fully automated automatic method as in VITEK[®] 2 compact automated system. The VITEK[®] 2 compact automated system from Biomerieux works on calorimetric principle for identification, through biochemical and antibiotic sensitivity tests. The accuracy of VITEK[®] 2 compact automated system ranges from 97.8% (O'Hara, 2005) to 98.02% (Duggal *et al.*, 2012). This study aimed to determine resistance profile and the nature of multidrug resistance in ESBL-producing *E.coli* against several classes of antibiotic. Antibiotic resistance is a problem occurring across the globe, including Indonesia, both in human and animal health.

Materials and Methods

This study used commercial chicken cloaca swab sample, collected from commercial chicken farms in Blitar Regency. 22 positive ESBL-producing *E.coli* isolate were identified using IMBIC test and confirmed as ESBL-

producing *E.coli* using Double Disc Synergy (DDST) test (CLSI, 2017; Effendi *et al.*, 2018). Identification of ESBL-producing *E.coli* isolate was performed using VITEK[®] 2 GN card, while VITEK[®] 2 AST cards were used to determine the resistance profile. 3 ml of sterile saline solution (0.45 – 0.50%; pH 4.5 – 7.0) was put into plastic tube aseptically to make a bacterial suspension. *E. coli* isolate was isolated on MacConkey Agar (MCA) medium, incubated at 35-37°C for 20-24 hours. *E. coli* isolate was put into sterile saline solution using sterile swab to create bacterial suspension. Bacterial suspensions were homogenized and 0.50 – 0.63 McFarland bacterial turbidity were made using VITEK[®] 2 DensiCHEK. These bacterial suspensions were inoculated to VITEK[®] 2 card no more than after 30 minutes. Bacterial suspension tubes and VITEK[®] 2 cards (GN and AST) were placed into special rack or cassette. Racks containing bacterial suspensions and cards were placed into vacuum chamber station for 30-60 seconds. Bacterial suspension was then transferred into wells. Transfer tubes were cut automatically. Cards were transferred to incubator room after 15 minutes, for approximately 8 hours at 35°C. Cards were then analyzed automatically. Antibiotic sensitivity test on ESBL-producing *E.coli* was carried out using VITEK[®] 2 compact, that consists of 18 antibiotics: amoxicillin, ampicillin, ampicillin/sulbactam, piperacillin/tazobactam, cefazolin, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cefepime, aztreonam, ertapenem, meropenem, amikacin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, tigecycline, nitrofurantoin, dan trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. The results were automatically analyzed by system and interpreted as sensitive, intermediate, or resistant (Sugiartha, 2016; Biomerieux, 2017). ESBL-producing *E.coli* multidrug resistance in this study used six different antibiotic classes: beta lactam, aminoglycoside, quinolone, tetracycline, nitrofurantoin, and sulfonamide-potential.

Results and Discussion

The resistance test results of ESBL-producing *E.coli* from commercial chicken cloaca swabs against several classes of antibiotic (Figure 1) shows high level of resistance. The highest percentage of resistance was observed in amoxicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone, by 100%. This result is according to resistance study on ESBL-producing *E.coli* at RPHR of Bogor City that showed 100% level of resistance on penicillin and amoxicillin (Normaliska *et al.*, 2019). Amoxicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone are categorized as beta lactam class antibiotic, amoxicillin and ampicillin belong to penicillin, cefazolin belongs to first generation cephalosporins, cefotaxime and ceftriaxone is third generation cephalosporins class. *E.coli* which is often referred as ESBL-producing bacteria has ability to produce beta lactamase enzyme, an enzyme that able to inhibit beta lactam (Paterson and Bonomo, 2005). Beta lactam resistance

commonly occurs in gram negative bacteria, including *E.coli* that can be isolated from animal products. Poultry is known as ESBL-producing *E.coli* reservoir (Schmid *et al.*, 2013; Hammerum *et al.*, 2014). The high resistance level of ESBL-producing *E.coli* from commercial chicken cloaca swab on beta lactam class antibiotic is caused by the presence of ESBL enzyme in *E.coli*, which is not limited on digestive tract, but also in the cage, feces, and surrounding environment (Schroeder *et al.*, 2004). The enzyme is not only able to hydrolyze penicillin, but also third generation cephalosporins and monobactam (Paterson and Bonomo, 2005; Nuangmek *et al.*, 2018).

A relatively high level resistance of ESBL-producing bacteria was observed on aztreonam, trime thoprim/sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin, and ciprofloxacin, by 86.36%, 77.27%, 72.73%, and 68.18% consecutively, as the previous study with relatively high level of resistance of ciprofloxacin (50.0%), gentamicin (60.0%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (60%, and ciprofloxacin (40.0%) (Cormican *et al.*, 1996; *et al.*Kürekci *et al.*, 2017; Sudarwanto *et al.*, 2017). Other antibiotics such as ertapenem and mempenem from carbapenem class, amikacin (aminoglycoside class), and tigecycline (tetracycline class) still show high level of sensitivity (100%), according to mechanism of action of ESBL which hydrolyze penicillin class antibiotics, first, second, and third generations of cephalosporin, and monobactam antibiotic class, but inactive on carbapenem class (imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem) (Lim *et al.*, 2013; Biutifasari, 2018). Nitrofurantoin has lower resistance level than trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole and fluoroquinolone (Rank *et al.*, 2018).

The susceptibility result shows a nearly similar pattern for all isolates (Table 1). The most resistance pattern seen on ESBL-producing *E.coli* was AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP pattern (22.73% or 5/22), and AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT (18.2% or 4/22). The result of t multiclass antibiotic resistance shows 86.36% (19/22) had multidrug resistance (Table 2). Multidrug resistance is certain bacterial resistance to three or more different antibacterial class (Kurniawati *et al.*, 2015). Multidrug resistance is a problem that hard to resolve in disease treatment. This condition is caused by the practice of the antibiotic use as disease preventive measures in commercial chicken production. Antibiotic has been used to control the level of morbidity, mortality, and infection of *E.coli*. While antibiotics are mostly used as therapeutic, several antibiotic classes are used for sub therapeutic purpose to prevent the emergence of disease in animal production (Niasono *et al.*, 2019). The use of antibiotic at sub therapeutic level can lead to the emergence of multidrug resistance (Wang *et al.*, 2015).

The highest level of multidrug resistance patter of ESBL-producing *E.coli* was observed on BL/AG/Q/SP pattern (50% or 11/22). Other multidrug resistance patterns found in this study were BL/AG/Q/SP (18.20% or 4/22), BL/AG/Q/SP (13.64% or 3/22), and BL/AG/Q (4.55% or 1/22). This study demonstrates that the high incidence of multidrug resistance can indicate the high risk of transmission on other chicken at the farm, to cause a transmission of antibiotic resistance on multi class antibiotics. The condition can result in the high potential failure of antibiotic treatment




Figure 1. Resistance profiles of ESBL-producing *E.coli* using VITEK® 2 method (Amoxicillin = AM; Ampicillin = AMP; Ampicillin/sulbactam = SAM; Piperacillin/Tazobactam = TZP; Cefazolin = KZ; Cefotaxime = CTX; Cefotaxime = CAZ; Ceftriaxone = CRO; Cefepime = FEP; Aztreonam = ATM; Ertapenem = ERT; meropenem = MEM; amikacin = AK; gentamicin = GM; ciprofloxacin = CIP; tigecycline = TC; nitrofurantoin = NFT; trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole = SXT; R = Resistance; I = Intermediate; S = Susceptible)

Table 1. Isolates belonging to individual antibiotic resistance profiles

Code	Antibiotic																Anti-microbial Resistance Profiles			
	A M	A M P	S A M	T Z P	K Z	C T X	C A Z	C R O	F E P	A T M	E R T	M E M	A K	G M	C I P	T C		N F T	S X T	
Ec1	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	S	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP	
Ec2	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec3	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec4	R	R	I	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	I	S	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP	
Ec5	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec6	R	R	I	S	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	S	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP	
Ec7	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	I	S	S	R	AM/AMP/SAM/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/ATM/SXT	
Ec8	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	S	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/SXT	
Ec9	R	R	I	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	S	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/SXT	
Ec10	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	I	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/GM/SXT	
Ec11	R	R	I	S	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec12	R	R	I	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	I	S	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP	
Ec13	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec14	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	S	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP	
Ec15	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	I	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/SXT	
Ec16	R	R	I	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/FEP/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec17	R	R	R	I	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S	R	S	I	R	AM/AMP/SAM/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/FEP/ATM/CIP/SXT	
Ec18	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/SAM/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/FEP/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT	
Ec19	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S	R	S	I	R	AM/AMP/SAM/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/FEP/ATM/CIP/SXT	
Ec20	R	R	R	I	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	I	S	S	I	R	AM/AMP/SAM/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/FEP/ATM/SXT	
Ec21	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	R	S	S	S	R	AM/AMP/SAM/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/SXT	
Ec22	R	R	I	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	R	AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CAZ/CRO/FEP/ATM/CIP/SXT	
Total	R	22	22	6	0	22	22	7	22	6	19	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
I	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	1	2	0	6	7
S	0	0	9	20	0	0	15	0	16	3	2	2	2	5	5	2	1	5	2	6

(Amoxicillin = AM; Ampicillin = AMP; Ampicillin/sulbactam = SAM; Piperacillin/Tazobactam = TZP; Cefazolin = KZ; Cefotaxime = CTX; Ceftriaxone = CAZ; Cefepime = FEP; Aztreonam = ATM; Ertapenem = ERT; meropenem = MEM; amikacin = AK; gentamicin = GM; ciprofloxacin = CIP; tigecycline = TC; nitrofurantoin = NFT; trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole = SXT; R = Resistance; I = Intermediate; S = Susceptible)

Table 2. Multidrug resistance pattern of ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolate

Sample code	Beta lactam	Aminoglycoside	Quinolones	Tetracycline	Nitrofurantoin	Sulfonamide potential	MDR	Multidrug Resistance Pattern
Ec1	R	R	R	S	S	S	MDR	BL/AG/Q
Ec2	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec3	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec4	R	R	R	S	I	S	MDR	BL/AG/Q
Ec5	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec6	R	R	R	S	S	S	MDR	BL/AG/Q/NF/SP
Ec7	R	S	I	S	S	R	NEGATIF	BL/SP
Ec8	R	R	S	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/SP
Ec9	R	R	S	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/SP
Ec10	R	R	S	S	I	R	MDR	BL/AG/SP
Ec11	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec12	R	R	R	S	I	S	MDR	BL/AG/Q
Ec13	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec14	R	R	R	S	S	S	MDR	BL/AG/Q
Ec15	R	S	I	S	S	R	NEGATIF	BL/SP
Ec16	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec17	R	S	R	S	I	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec18	R	R	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec19	R	S	R	S	I	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP
Ec20	R	I	S	S	I	R	NEGATIF	BL/SP
Ec21	R	R	S	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/SP
Ec22	R	S	R	S	S	R	MDR	BL/AG/Q/SP

(Beta laktam = BL; Aminoglycoside = AG; Quinolones = Q; Tetracycline = TC; Nitrofurantoin = NF; Sulfonamide potential = SP; R = Resistance; I = Intermediate; S = Susceptible).

during the existence of infectious disease at the farm. The different resistance pattern arises due to the varied antibiotic combinations used by farmers, as stated by Bywater *et al.* (2014) in which various type of antibiotics, geographic conditions, and production system are factors causing the different resistance pattern.

Conclusion

The highest antibiotic resistance of ESBL-producing *E.coli* was observed on amoxicillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone (100%). Ertapenem and mempenem from carbapenem antibiotic class, and amikacin (aminoglycoside class), and tigecycline (tetracycline class) still display high sensitivity (100%). The most resistance pattern found in this study was AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP (22.73%), and AM/AMP/KZ/CTX/CRO/ATM/GM/CIP/SXT (18.2%). The result of multi class antibiotic resistance exhibits 86.36% are multidrug resistant, with the highest multidrug resistance occurred in BL/AG/Q/SP (50%).

Acknowledgment

This study was funded by *Dana Hibah Penelitian Mandat* from Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia in 2019.

References

- Amelia, A., A. Nugroho, and P. N. Harijanto. 2016. Diagnosis and management of infections caused by enterobacteriaceae producing extended spectrum Beta-Lactamase. *Acta Medica Indonesiana -The Indonesian Journal of Internal Medicine*. 48: 156–166.
- Biomerieux. 2017. AST and Resistance Detection, Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing bioMérieux Industry website. Available from: <http://www.biomerieux-industry.com/ast-and-resistance-detection>.
- Biutifasari, V. 2018. Extended Spectrum Beta – Lactamase (ESBL). *oceana Biomedicina Journal*. 1: 1–6.
- Brower, C. H., S. Mandal, S. Hayer, M. Sran, A. Zehra, S. J. Patel, R. Kaur, L. Chatterjee, S. Mishra, B. R. Das, P. Singh, R. Singh, J. P. S. Gill, and R. Laxminarayan. 2017. The prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* in poultry chickens and variation according to farming practices in Punjab, India. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 125: 1–10. doi:10.1289/EHP292.
- Bywater, R., H. Deluyker, E. Deroover, A. de Jong, H. Marion, M. McConville, T. Rowan, T. Shryock, D. Shuster, V. Thomas, M. Vallé, and J. Walters. 2004. A European survey of antimicrobial susceptibility among zoonotic and commensal bacteria isolated from food-producing animals. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 54:744–754. doi:10.1093/jac/dkh422.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2017. M100 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial. 27th ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA. Available from: www.clsi.org
- Cormican, M. G., S. A. Marshall, and R. N. Jones. 1996. Detection of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing strains by the Etest ESBL screen. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 34: 1880–1884.
- Duggal, S., R. Gaiind, N. Tandon, M. Deb, and T. Das Chugh. 2012. Comparison of an automated system with conventional identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *International Scholarly Research Network Microbiology*. 2012. doi:10.5402/2012/107203.
- Effendi, M. H., I. G. Bintari, E. B. Aksoro, and I. P. Hermawan. 2018. Detection of blaTem Gene of Klebsiella pneumoniae Isolated from swab of food-producing animals in East Java. *Tropical Animal Science Journal*. 41: 174–178. doi:10.5398/tasj.2018.41.3.174.
- Hammerum, A. M., J. Larsen, V. D. Andersen, C. H. Lester, T. S. S. Skytte, F. Hansen, S. S. Olsen, H. Mordhorst, R. L. Skov, F. M. Aarestrup, and Y. Agersø. 2014. Characterization of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Escherichia coli* obtained from Danish pigs, pig farmers and their families from farms with high or no consumption of third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 69: 2650–2657. doi:10.1093/jac/dku180.
- Handayani, R. S., S. Siahaan, and M. J. Herman. 2017. Resistensi antimikroba dan penerapan kebijakan pengendalian di Rumah Sakit di Indonesia. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pelayanan Kesehatan*. 1: 131–140.
- Kürekci, C., M. Aydin, M. Yipel, M. Katouli, and A. Gündogdu. 2017. Characterization of extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Escherichia coli* in Asi (Orontes) River in Turkey. *Journal of Water and Health*. 15: 788–798. doi:10.2166/wh.2017.257.
- Kurniawati, A. F., P. Satyabakti, and N. Arbianti. 2015. Perbedaan Risiko Multidrug Resistance Organisms (MDROS) Menurut Faktor Risiko dan Kepatuhan Hand Hygiene. *Jurnal Berkala Epidemiologi*. 3:277–289. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.20473/jbe.V3i32015.277-289>.
- Lim, C. L. L., W. Lee, A. L. C. Lee, L. T. T. Liew, S. C. Nah, C. N. Wan, M. P. Chlebicki, and A. L. H. Kwa. 2013. Evaluation of ertapenem use with impact assessment on extended-spectrum β -lactamases

- (ESBL) production and gram-negative resistance in Singapore general hospital (SGH). *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 13: 1–10. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-523.
- Masrurroh, C. A., M. B. Sudarwanto, and H. Latif. 2016. Tingkat kejadian *Escherichia coli* penghasil extended spectrum B - Lactamase yang diisolasi dari feses broiler di kota Bogor. *Jurnal Sain Veteriner*. 34: 42–49.
- Niasono, A. B., H. Latif, and T. Purnawarman. 2019. Resistensi antibiotik terhadap bakteri *Escherichia coli* yang diisolasi dari peternakan ayam pedaging di Kabupaten Subang, Jawa Barat. *Jurnal Veteriner*. 20: 187–195. doi:10.19087/jveteriner.2019.20.2.187.
- Noor, S. M. and M. Poeloenga. 2005. Pemakaian antibiotika pada ternak. Lokakarya Nasional Keamanan Pangan Produk Peternakan. Auditorium Balai Penelitian Veteriner. Bogor. 56–64.
- Normaliska, R., M. B. Sudarwanto, and H. Latif. 2019. Pola resistensi antibiotik pada *Escherichia coli* penghasil ESBL dari sampel lingkungan di RPH-R Kota Bogor. *Acta Veterinaria Indonesiana*. 7: 42–48. doi:10.29244/avi.7.2.42-48.
- Nuangmek, A., S. Rojanasthien, S. Chotinun, P. Yamsakul, P. Tadee, V. Thamlikitkul, N. Tansakul, and P. Patchanee. 2018. Antimicrobial resistance in ESBL-Producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from layer and pig farms in Thailand. *Acta Scientiae Veterinariae*. 46: 1538.
- O'Hara, C. M. 2005. Manual and automated instrumentation for identification of *enterobacteriaceae* and other aerobic gram-negative bacilli. *Clinical microbiology reviews*. 18: 147–162. doi:10.1128/CMR.18.1.147.
- Paterson, D. L. and R. A. Bonomo. 2005. Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases: a Clinical Update. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 18: 657–686. doi:10.1128/CMR.18.4.657.
- Rank, E. L., T. Lodise, L. Avery, E. Bankert, E. Dobson, G. Dumyati, S. Hasset, M. Keller, M. Pearsall, T. Lubowski, and J. J. Carreno. 2018. Antimicrobial susceptibility trends observed in urinary pathogens obtained from New York state. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*. 5: 1–6. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofy297.
- Santos, L. L. dos, R. A. Moura, P. Aguilar-Ramires, A. P. De Castro, and N. Lincopan. 2013. Current status of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae in animals. Microbial pathogens and strategies for combating them: science, technology and education. 1600–07.
- Schroeder, C. M., D. G. White, and J. Meng. 2004. Retail meat and poultry as a reservoir of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Food Microbiology*. 21:249–255. doi:10.1016/S0740-0020(03)00074-1.
- Schmid, A., S. Hörmansdorfer, U. Messelhäusser, A. Käsbohrer, C. Sauter-Louis, and R. Mansfeld. 2013. Prevalence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* on Bavarian dairy and beef cattle farms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 79: 3027–3032. doi:10.1128/AEM.00204-13.
- Sudarwanto, M. B., D. W. Lukman, T. Purnawarman, H. Latif, H. Pisestyani, and E. Sukmawinata. 2017. Multidrug resistance extended spectrum β -lactamase and AmpC producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from the environment of Bogor Slaughterhouse, Indonesia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. 7: 708–711. doi:10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.07.012.
- Sugiartha, I. G. E. 2016. Perbandingan Hasil Identifikasi Metode Analytical Profile Index (API) dan Tes Kepekaan Antibiotika Konvensional dengan Metode Technical Dedicated Reasonable (TDR)-300B. Universitas Airlangga.
- Wang, X., C. Cao, H. Huan, L. Zhang, X. Mu, Q. Gao, X. Dong, S. Gao, and X. Liu. 2015. IsoEc22 R S R S S R MDR BL/AG/Q/SPIation, identification, and pathogenicity of O142 avian pathogenic *EscherichEc22 R S R S S R MDR BL/AG/Q/SPIa coli* causing black proventriculus and septicemia in broiler breeders. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*. 32:23–29. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2015.02.013.
- Wibisono, F. J., B. Sumiarto, and T. K. Kusumastuti. 2018. Economic losses estimation of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection in Indonesian Poultry Farming. *Buletin Peternakan* 42: 341–346. doi:10.21059/buletinpeternak.v42i4.37505.
- Wiedosari, E. and S. Wahyuwardani. 2015. A case study on the diseases of broiler chicken in Sukabumi and Bogor Districts. *Jurnal Kedokteran Hewan*. 9: 9–13.